II. The Need to go Forward

As a result of the failure of the negotiated rulemaking, the Commission now faces unenviable choices. With various participants clamoring to obtain access to the spectrum, the FCC must decide how to utilize the 28 GHz band. The Commission appears to have two options.

The FCC could impose an engineering solution on the bandsharing problem for all participants. However, the Commission
could have done that prior to the negotiated rulemaking and did
not so. Its failure demonstrates that the FCC, for whatever
reason, is not interested in solving the problem by fiat
(irrespective of how reasonable that fiat would be).

In the alternative, the Commission could proceed with further rulemaking in this docket to ascertain whether the 28 GHz band should be used by terrestrial or satellite services. The rulemaking would be used to determine whether the public benefits of terrestrial service outweigh those of satellite service or vice versa. The FCC then would have the record needed to properly allocate the spectrum in the public interest.

III. The Public Interests at Stake in the Proceeding

The FCC's negotiated rulemaking was not designed to address the public interest benefits of LMDS versus satellite service.

Nevertheless, a number of important factors were revealed during that procedure.

LMDS is an operational technology as demonstrated by the ongoing experimental license in New York. LMDS provides high quality competition to cable systems. More importantly, its cellular-like capabilities enable it to offer diverse service within the same metropolitan region. This would enable small business owners to purchase targeted advertising time in narrow markets — something they are incapable of doing with cable service and certainly not able to do with direct broadcast satellite. Thus, LMDS provides benefits to the public and the small business community not otherwise available through other multichannel video providers.

IMDS, due to its affordability enables a wide diversity of parties to participate in the multichannel telecommunications revolution. Small businesses could easily afford to offer IMDS service just as they can afford to build a similar service --

1

⁵ In New York, that may mean that service beamed to Chinatown would have Chinese language programming while that beamed to Brighton Beach would have Russian language programming.

multipoint multidistribution systems (wireless cable). The relative low-cost also would enable groups generally underrepresented in the ownership of mass media properties to obtain such properties. If the FCC limits the 28 GHz band to FSS, the sheer cost of launching satellite services would put it beyond the reach of all but the most wealthy corporations in the United States.

IMDS can also be adapted for educational purposes in rural communities. Small rural communities may not have the access to resources to provide students with the variety of classroom instruction that larger communities have. Therefore, rural communities often utilize advanced telecommunication to bring teachers and students together. While fiber optic cable service can bring this so-called distant learning to schools, that service is expensive and may be beyond the financial reach of many rural areas. On the other hand, LMDS is a relatively inexpensive technology that can bring distant learning on multiple channels to rural classrooms. The capacity to bring distant learning to rural communities should not be overlooked by the Commission.

The Commission recently released a series of related notices of proposed rulemaking in which it seeks ways to increase the ownership of mass media properties by women and minorities.

On the other hand, one potential FSS user proposes a pie-in-the-sky project that may or may not get off the ground.

Teledesic proposes to launch 840 low-earth orbiting satellites to provide voice and video signals in sparsely populated areas. The complexity and cost of the project would rival that of the Strategic Defense Initiative. 7

The other primary opponent to shared utilization of the FSS spectrum is Hughes which has a direct interest in reducing competition in the multichannel video program delivery service. Hughes is a primary partner in a direct broadcast satellite (DBS) service. If other multichannel video providers enter the market with equivalent picture quality, offerings of local television stations, and avoidance of weather-related reception problems, it could hamper the ability of Hughes to recoup its centimillion dollar investment in DBS.

The Office of Advocacy believes that a thorough review of the public interest at stake in the 28 GHz band is necessary. The Office of Advocacy strongly urges the Commission to commence such a rulemaking as quickly as possible. The Office of Advocacy also recommends that the FCC, as part of this rulemaking, prepare an initial regulatory flexibility analysis which examines the costs and benefits of different uses for the 28 GHz. The Office

⁷ Presumably NASA is chomping at the bit to be involved in the launch of nearly 840 satellites.

of Advocacy, although it does not wish to totally prejudge the outcome, believes that many more benefits arise from terrestrial use of the 28 GHz band or some mechanism for FSS to share service. with terrestrial users.

Respectfully submitted,

Jere W. Glover, Esq. Chief Counsel for Advocacy

Pineles, Esq.

Assistant Chief Counsel

Certificate of Service

I, Owen J. McGovern, hereby certify that the foregoing "Reply Comments of CellularVision" were delivered by hand on April 13, 1995 to the following:

Hon. Reed E. Hundt Chairman Federal Communications Commission 1919 M Street, NW, Room 814 Washington, DC 20554

Hon. James H. Quello Commissioner Federal Communications Commission 1919 M Street, NW, Room 802 Washington, DC 20554

Hon. Andrew C. Barrett Commissioner Federal Communications Commission 1919 M Street, NW, Room 826 Washington, DC 20554

Hon. Susan Ness Commissioner Federal Communications Commission 1919 M Street, NW, Room 832 Washington, DC 20554

Hon. Rachelle B. Chong Commissioner Federal Communications Commission 1919 M Street, NW, Room 844 Washington, DC 20554

Blair Levin Chief of Staff Office of Chairman Reed Hundt Federal Communications Commission 1919 M Street, NW, Room 814 Washington, DC 20554

Ruth Milkman
Senior Legal Advisor to Chairman Reed
Hundt
Federal Communications Commission
1919 M Street, NW, Room 814
Washington, DC 20554

Karen Brinkman Special Assistant to Chairman Reed Hundt Federal Communications Commission 1919 M Street, NW, Room 814 Washington, DC 20554

Lauren J. Belvin Senior Advisor to Commissioner James Quello Federal Communications Commission 1919 M Street, NW, Room 814 Washington, DC, 20554

Rudolfo M. Baca Legal Advisor to Commissioner James Quello Federal Communications Commission 1919 M Street, NW, Room 802 Washington, DC 20554

Maureen O'Connell Legal Advisor to Commissioner James Quello Federal Communications Commission 1919 M Street, NW, Room 802 Washington, DC 20554

Lisa B. Smith
Legal Advisor to Commissioner Andrew
Barrett
Federal Communications Commission
1919 M Street, NW, Room 826
Washington, DC 20554

James R. Coltharp Special Assistant to Commissioner Andrew Barrett Federal Communications Commission 1919 M Street, NW, Room 826 Washington, DC 20554 James L. Casserly
Senior Advisor to Commissioner Susan
Ness
Federal Communications Commission
1919 M Street, NW, Room 832
Washington, DC 20554

David Siddall Legal Advisor to Commissioner Susan Ness Federal Communications Commission 1919 M Street, NW, Room 832 Washington, DC 20554

Mary McManus Legal Advisor to Commissioner Susan Ness Federal Communications Commission 1919 M Street, NW, Room 832 Washington, DC 20554

Jane Mago Senior Legal Advisor to Commissioner Rachelle Chong Federal Communications Commission 1919 M Street, NW, Room 844 Washington, DC 20554

Richard Welch Legal Advisor to Commissioner Rachelle Chong Federal Communications Commission 1919 M Street, NW, Room 844 Washington, DC 205554

William E. Kennard General Counsel Federal Communications Commission 1919 M Street, NW, Room 614 Washington, DC 20554

James Olson Chief, Competition Division Office of the General Counsel Federal Communications Commission 2033 M Street, NW, Room 919 Washington, DC 20554 Paul Gallant Office of General Counsel Federal Communications Commission 2033 M Street, NW, Room 399 F Washington, DC 20554

Dr. Robert M. Pepper Chief, Office of Plans & Policy Federal Communications Commission 1919 M Street, NW, Room 822 Washington, DC 20554

Michael Katz Chief Economist, Office of Plans & Policy Federal Communications Commission 1919 M Street, NW, Room 822 Washington, DC 20554

Dr. Thomas Stanley Chief Engineer Office of Plans and Policy Federal Communications Commission 1919 M Street, NW, Room 822 Washington, DC 20554

Dr. Michael J. Marcus Office of Engineering & Technology Federal Communications Commission 2000 M Street, NW, Suite 480 Washington, DC 20554

Richard Engelman
Office of Engineering & Technology
Federal Communications Commission
2000 M Street, NW, Suite 480
Washington, DC 20554

Kathleen M.H. Wallman Chief, Common Carrier Bureau Federal Communications Commission 1919 M Street, NW, Room 500 Washington, DC 20554

Kathleen Levitz
Deputy Bureau Chief (Policy),
Common Carrier Bureau
Federal Communications Commission
1919 M Street, NW, Room 500
Washington, DC 20554

A. Richard Metzger, Jr.
Deputy Bureau Chief (Operations),
Common Carrier Bureau
Federal Communications Commission
1919 M Street, NW, Room 500
Washington, DC 20554

James R. Keegan Chief, Domestic Facilities Division Common Carrier Bureau Federal Communications Commission 2025 M Street, NW, Room 6010 Washington, DC 20554

Robert James Chief, Wireless Telecommunications Bureau, Private Radio Branch Federal Communication Commission 2025 M Street, NW, Room 6310 Washington, DC 20554

Susan Magnotti, Esq.
Wireless Telecommunications
Bureau
Federal Communications Commission
2025 M Street, NW, Room 6310
Washington, DC 20554

Meredith Jones Chief, Cable Services Bureau Federal Communications Commission 2033 M Street, NW, Room 918 Washington, DC 20554 William H. Johnson
Deputy Chief, Policy & Rules Division
Cable Service Bureau
Federal Communications Commission
2033 M Street, NW, Room 918
Washington, DC 20554

Nina Sandman Legal Advisor, Competition Division Cable Services Bureau Federal Communications Commission 2033 M Street, NW, Room 502E Washington, DC 20554

Scott Blake Harris Bureau Chief International Bureau 2000 M Street, NW, Room 800 Washington, DC 20554

James L. Ball Associate Bureau Chief International Bureau 2000 M Street, NW, Room 800 Washington, DC 20554

Thomas Tycz
Division Chief, Satellite
and Radio Communications Division
International Bureau
Federal Communications Commission
2000 M Street, NW, Room 800
Washington, DC 20554

Owen J. McCovern